arXiv:0801.0807v1 [math.SP] 5 Jan 2008

The parametrization of the Marchenko-Ostrovsky mapping in terms of the Dirichlet eigenvalues

Maria Evgenievna Korotyaeva[*]

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We consider the inverse spectral problem for periodic Jacobi matrices in terms of the vertical slits on the quasi-momentum domain plus the Dirichlet eigenvalues, i.e., the Marchenko-Ostrovsky mapping. Moreover, we show that the gradients of the Dirichlet eigenvalues and of the so-called norming constants are linear independent.

1 Introduction

We consider the self-adjoint N-periodic Jacobi operator $\mathcal{J}$ on a Hilbert space $\ell^2 = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $(\mathcal{J}y)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = a_n y_{n+1} + b_n y_n + a_{n-1} y_{n-1}$ for $y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2$ and for the N-periodic sequences $a_n = e^{x_n} > 0,\ x_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, we assume

$$q = (x, b) \equiv (x_n, b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_N} \in \mathscr{H}^2,\ \mathscr{H} \equiv \{b \in \mathbb{R}^N : \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n = 0\}. \tag{1.1}$$

For simplicity, we use the notation $\mathbb{Z}_N = \{1, 2, ..., N\},\ N \in \mathbb{N}$, throughout this paper. To begin, we recall some well known facts (see, e.g., [vM]). Let $\varphi = \varphi(\lambda, q) = (\varphi_n(\lambda, q))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\vartheta = \vartheta(\lambda, q) = (\vartheta_n(\lambda, q))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ denote two fundamental solutions of the equation

$$a_{n-1}y_{n-1} + b_n y_n + a_n y_{n+1} = \lambda y_n,\ (\lambda, n) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.2}$$

with the initial conditions $\varphi_0 \equiv \vartheta_1 \equiv 0,\ \varphi_1 \equiv \vartheta_0 \equiv 1$. The Lyapunov function $\Delta(\lambda, q) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_{N+1}(\lambda, q) + \vartheta_N(\lambda, q))$ is the discriminant of the equation (1.2) and characterizes the spectrum $\sigma(q) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : |\Delta(\lambda, q)| \le 1\}$ of $\mathcal{J}$. The spectrum of $\mathcal{J}$ is absolutely continuous and consists of N bands $\sigma_n = [\lambda_{n-1}^+, \lambda_n^-],\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, separated by the gaps $\gamma_n = (\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+)$, where $\lambda_n^\pm = \lambda_n^\pm(q)$ are the roots of $\Delta^2(\lambda, q) = 1$ and satisfy $\lambda_N^+ \equiv \lambda_0^+ < \lambda_1^- \le \lambda_1^+ < ... < \lambda_{N-1}^- \le \lambda_{N-1}^+ < \lambda_N^-$. That is, if a gap γ_n degenerates, then the corresponding segments $\sigma_n,\ \sigma_{n+1}$

---

[*] Mathematisches Institut, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, e-mail: korotiaeva@yahoo.de

merge. Moreover, there is exactly one point $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(q) \in [\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$ such that

$$\Delta'(\lambda_n, q) = 0,\ \Delta''(\lambda_n, q) \neq 0,\ (-1)^{N-n}\Delta(\lambda_n, q) \geq 1. \tag{1.3}$$

Here and below, $(') = \partial/\partial\lambda$.

Traditionally since [vM], the inverse spectral problem for the periodic Jacobi operator has been solved using the Neumann eigenvalues $\mu_n = \mu_n(q),\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$, given by the zeroes of $\vartheta_{N+1}(\lambda, q) = 0$. The main goal of this paper is to solve the inverse spectral problem alternatively using the Dirichlet eigenvalues $\nu_n = \nu_n(q),\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$, given by the zeroes of $\varphi_N(\lambda, q) = 0$. That is, we define the auxiliary spectrum by the Dirichlet spectrum instead of the Neumann spectrum. Note that $\mu_n, \nu_n \in [\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+], n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$.

To outline the plan of this note, we recall that the inverse spectral problem consists of the following four parts, namely,

i) *The uniqueness.* Prove that the spectral data uniquely determines the potential.

ii) *The reconstruction.* Give an algorithm to recover the potential from the spectral data.

iii) *The characterization.* Give the conditions for some data to be the spectral data of some potential.

iv) *The stability.* Give the two-sided a priori estimates of the potential in terms of the spectral data.

We construct a Marchenko-Ostrovsky mapping $\psi : \mathscr{H}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{2N-2}$ for the periodic Jacobi operator in terms of the Dirichlet eigenvalues ν_n by $\psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{N-1}},\ \psi_n = (\psi_{1,n}, \psi_{2,n}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where

$$\psi_{1,n} = \log((-1)^{N-n}\varphi_{N+1}(\nu_n)),\ \psi_{2,n} = (|\psi_n|^2 - \psi_{1,n}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sign}(\lambda_n - \nu_n), \tag{1.4}$$

$$\cosh|\psi_n| = (-1)^{N-n}\Delta(\lambda_n). \tag{1.5}$$

Here, $\psi_{1,n}$ is the so-called norming constant. It is easy to verify $|\psi_n|^2 - |\psi_{1,n}|^2 \geq 0$ since (1.4), (1.5) and the Wronskian identity $\varphi_{N+1}\vartheta_N - \varphi_N\vartheta_{N+1} = 1$ together imply

$$(-1)^{N-n}\Delta(\nu_n, q) = \cosh\psi_{1,n}(q). \tag{1.6}$$

Note incidentally that the mapping ψ is an analogue of the Marchenko-Ostrovski mapping [MO] for the continuous case and has similar properties (see [MO], [Ko]).

Firstly, we will prove the characterization and the uniqueness showing that the mapping $\{\text{potential}\} \to \{\text{spectral data}\}$ is a homeomorphism.

Theorem 1.1. *The mapping $\psi : \mathscr{H}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{2N-2}$ is a real analytic isomorphism between the Hilbert spaces $\mathscr{H}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2N-2}$.*

Remark. We recall some necessary definitions. Let $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H}_0$ be Hilbert spaces. The derivative of a map $f : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}_0$ at a point $q \in \mathscr{H}$ is a bounded linear map from $\mathscr{H}$ into $\mathscr{H}_0$, which we denote by $d_q f$. A map $f : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}_0$ is a real analytic isomorphism between $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{H}_0$ if f is bijective and both f and f^{-1} are real analytic maps of the space.

Secondly, we will obtain the reconstruction and the stability. We use for it the geometric interpretation of the Marchenko-Ostrovsky mapping, which is similar to the continues case mentioned in [MO] and [Ko]. For this purpose, we introduce the conformal mapping (the quasi-momentum) $\kappa : \Lambda \to K$ by

$$\cos \kappa(\lambda) = (-1)^N \Delta(\lambda, q), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \kappa(it) \to \pm i\infty \quad \text{as} \ t \to \pm\infty. \tag{1.7}$$

Here and below, $\Lambda = \mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_1^{N-1} \gamma_n$ is the domain, $K = \{\kappa : 0 \leqslant \operatorname{Re} \kappa \leqslant N\pi\} \setminus \cup_1^{N-1} \kappa(\gamma_n)$ is called the quasi-momentum domain and $\Gamma_n = (\pi n + i|\psi_n|, \pi n - i|\psi_n|)$ is an excised vertical slit.

Theorem 1.2. *i) For each $\psi \in \mathbb{R}^{2N-2}$, there is a unique point $q \in \mathscr{H}^2$ and a unique conformal mapping $\kappa : \Lambda \to K$ such that the following identities hold true*

$$\kappa(\lambda_n(q) \pm i0) = \pi n \pm i|\psi_n(q)|, \quad \kappa(\nu_n(q) \pm i0) = \pi n \pm i\psi_{1,n}(q), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}. \tag{1.8}$$

ii) For $(\psi_{1,n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}}$, there is a standard algorithm to recover a, b.
iii) The following two-sided estimates hold true

$$\frac{1}{4} e^{2\max|\psi_n|} < \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_N^- - \lambda_0^+)^2 < b^2 + 2a^2 < N(\lambda_N^- - \lambda_0^+)^2 < 16Ne^{2\max|\psi_n|}. \tag{1.9}$$

A crucial argument in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the fact that the gradients of the Dirichlet eigenvalues and of the norming constants are linear independent. More precisely, we define the symplectic form $\wedge$ by

$$f \wedge g = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (f_{1,n} g_{2,n} - f_{2,n} g_{1,n}) - (f_{1,n-1} g_{2,n} - f_{2,n} g_{1,n-1}) \tag{1.10}$$

for $f = (f_{1,n}, f_{2,n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$, $g = (g_{1,n}, g_{2,n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ with $f_{1,0} \equiv f_{1,N}$ and $g_{1,0} \equiv g_{1,N}$. Note that below $\delta_{n,m}$ stands for the Kronecker symbol for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we show

Theorem 1.3. *For all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$, it holds true*

$$d_q \nu_n \wedge d_q \nu_m = 0, \tag{1.11}$$

$$d_q \psi_{1,n} \wedge d_q \psi_{1,m} = 0, \tag{1.12}$$

$$d_q \psi_{1,n} \wedge d_q \nu_m = 2\delta_{n,m}. \tag{1.13}$$

In particular, $d_q \nu_n$, $d_q \psi_{1,n}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$, is a basis of $\mathscr{H}^2$.

Pöschel and Trubowitz [PT] proved an analogue of Theorem 1.3 for the Sturm-Liouville problem on the interval $[0, 1]$. We use their arguments in our proof. Note that van Moerbeke [vM] proved (using another approach) that the gradients of the Neumann eigenvalues μ_n and of the norming constants are linear independent. Namely, van Moerbeke used the Jacobi matrices with removed rows and columns. Remark that our proof also can be applied for the case of μ_n.

There are different approaches to the inverse spectral problem for the periodic Jacobi operator. The investigation on this topic started in 1976 by van Moerbeke [vM] and by Date and Tanaka [DT]. Both works obtained the reconstruction, but not the characterization: van Moerbeke did it using the Stieltjes inverse spectral method from [Ah] or [GK], and Date and Tanaka did it applying the suffix shifting by a constant. The nonlinear Toda lattice turned out an important application of these methods (see [To]). The first for us known work on the characterization is the paper [Pe] by Perkolab, where some analogue of Theorem 1.2 is showed using [MO]. Further, Korotyaev and Kutsenko [KoKu] showed Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in terms of the Neumann eigenvalues applying approach [KaKo]. That is, [KoKu] extended the result of Marchenko and Ostrovski about the height-slit mapping for the Hill operator (see [MO], [Ko1]) to the case of the periodic Jacobi matrix using the Neumann eigenvalues. Lastly, the inverse problem in terms of the gap lengths was solved in [BGGK] based on the approach from [GT] and in [Ko1] based on the approach from [KaKo].

Our note is organized as follows: Section 2 displays some preliminary statements in terms of ν_n. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.3; this is technically the most difficult part of this note. In Section 4, we show Theorem 1.1 using the argument from [Ko] and [KoKu], where this theorem in terms of μ_n is proved. Then Theorem 1.1 together with [KoKr] and [KoKu] directly implies Theorem 1.2.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will determine the gradients (with respect to q) of the Dirichlet eigenvalues and the norming constants in terms of the fundamental solutions. For this purpose, we define the Wronskian by

$$\{f,g\}_n = a_n(f_n g_{n+1} - f_{n+1} g_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.1}$$

for the sequences $f = (f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $g = (g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $f_n, g_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Below, we use the notation $\partial = \partial_q = (\partial_{x_k}, \partial_{b_k})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_N}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Each from the functions ν_n, $\psi_{1,n}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$, is real analytic on $\mathscr{H}^2$ and satisfies*

$$d_q\nu_n = -\frac{\partial\varphi_N(\nu_n(q),q)}{\varphi_N'(\nu_n(q),q)}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$d_q\psi_{1,n} = \frac{\varphi_{N+1}'(\nu_n(q),q)d_q\nu_n + \partial\varphi_{N+1}(\nu_n(q),q)}{\varphi_{N+1}(\nu_n(q),q)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. This proof is similar to the continues case [Ko] (see also [KoKu]). ■

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\hat\varphi = \varphi(\nu_n(q),q), \hat\vartheta = \vartheta(\nu_n(q),q)$ for all $(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}\times\mathscr{H}^2$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, the following identities hold*

$$d_{q_k}\nu_n = -\frac{(2a_k\hat\varphi_k\hat\varphi_{k+1}, \hat\varphi_k^2)}{a_N\hat\varphi_{N+1}\hat\varphi_N'}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$d_{q_k}\psi_{1,n} = B_{n,k} + (\hat\varphi_{N+1}'\hat\vartheta_N - \hat\varphi_N'\hat\vartheta_{N+1})d_q\nu_n, \tag{2.5}$$

$$B_{n,k} = \frac{1}{a_N}(a_k(\hat\varphi_{k+1}\hat\vartheta_k + \hat\varphi_k\hat\vartheta_{k+1}), \hat\varphi_k\hat\vartheta_k). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. We assume $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

i) We want to show (2.4) applying (2.2). That is, we have to determine the derivation $\partial_{q_k} \hat{\varphi}_N$. Firstly, we calculate $\partial_{x_k} \hat{\varphi}_N$ using the equation (1.2) for φ_j

$$a_{j-1}\varphi_{j-1} + (b_j - \lambda)\varphi_j + a_j\varphi_{j+1} = 0,$$

and its derivation with respect to x_k

$$a_{j-1}\partial_{x_k}\varphi_{j-1} + (b_j - \lambda)\partial_{x_k}\varphi_j + a_j\partial_{x_k}\varphi_{j+1} = -a_k(\delta_{j,k}\varphi_{k+1} + \delta_{j,k+1}\varphi_k + \delta_{k,N}\delta_{j,1}\varphi_0).$$

Below, $\chi_{k<N}$ stands for the characteristic function, i.e. $\chi_{k<N} = 1$ for $k < N$ and $\chi_{k<N} = 0$ for $k \geq N$ (recalling that N is fixed). Multiplying the first equation by $\partial_{x_k}\varphi_j$ and the second one by φ_j and taking the difference, we sum the result over all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, that is,

$$2a_k\varphi_k\varphi_{k+1} = a_k(\chi_{k<N} 2\varphi_k\varphi_{k+1} + \delta_{k,N}(\varphi_0\varphi_1 + \varphi_N\varphi_{N+1}))$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j-1}(\varphi_{j-1}\partial_{x_k}\varphi_j - \varphi_j\partial_{x_k}\varphi_{j-1}) + a_j(\varphi_{j+1}\partial_{x_k}\varphi_j - \varphi_j\partial_{x_k}\varphi_{j+1})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \{\partial_{x_k}\varphi, \varphi\}_j - \{\partial_{x_k}\varphi, \varphi\}_{j-1} = \{\partial_{x_k}\varphi, \varphi\}_N - \{\partial_{x_k}\varphi, \varphi\}_0 = \{\partial_{x_k}\varphi, \varphi\}_N$$

since $\varphi_0 = 0$. Next, setting $\lambda = \nu_n$ and recalling $\hat{\varphi}_N = 0$, it gives

$$\partial_{x_k}\hat{\varphi}_N = \frac{2a_k\hat{\varphi}_k\hat{\varphi}_{k+1}}{a_N\hat{\varphi}_{N+1}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Secondly, we calculate $\partial_{b_k}\hat{\varphi}_N$ using the equation (1.2) for φ_j

$$a_{j-1}\varphi_{j-1} + (b_j - \lambda)\varphi_j + a_j\varphi_{j+1} = 0,$$

and its derivation with respect to b_k

$$a_{j-1}\partial_{b_k}\varphi_{j-1} + (b_j - \lambda)\partial_{b_k}\varphi_j + a_j\partial_{b_k}\varphi_{j+1} = -\delta_{j,k}\varphi_k.$$

Multiplying the first equation by $\partial_{b_k}\varphi_j$ and the second one by φ_j and taking the difference, we sum the result over all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, that is,

$$\varphi_k^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j-1}(\varphi_{j-1}\partial_{b_k}\varphi_j - \varphi_j\partial_{b_k}\varphi_{j-1}) + a_j(\varphi_{j+1}\partial_{b_k}\varphi_j - \varphi_j\partial_{b_k}\varphi_{j+1})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \{\partial_{b_k}\varphi, \varphi\}_j - \{\partial_{b_k}\varphi, \varphi\}_{j-1} = \{\partial_{b_k}\varphi, \varphi\}_N - \{\partial_{b_k}\varphi, \varphi\}_0 = \{\partial_{b_k}\varphi, \varphi\}_N$$

since $\varphi_0 = 0$. Setting $\lambda = \nu_n$, we see that $\hat{\varphi}_N = 0$ implies $\partial_{b_k}\hat{\varphi}_N = \frac{\hat{\varphi}_k^2}{a_N\hat{\varphi}_{N+1}}$ and by (2.7), we get (2.4).

ii) In order to prove (2.5) and (2.6), we determine $\partial_{q_k}\hat\varphi_{N+1}$ and then substitute it into the identity (2.3). Firstly, we calculate $\partial_{x_k}\hat\varphi_{N+1}$ using the equation (1.2) for φ_j

$$a_{j-1}\varphi_{j-1} + (b_j - \lambda)\varphi_j + a_j\varphi_{j+1} = 0,$$

and the derivation of the equation (1.2) for ϑ_j with respect to x_k

$$a_{j-1}\partial_{x_k}\vartheta_{j-1} + (b_j - \lambda)\partial_{x_k}\vartheta_j + a_j\partial_{x_k}\vartheta_{j+1} = -a_k(\delta_{j,k}\vartheta_{k+1} + \delta_{j,k+1}\vartheta_k + \delta_{k,N}\delta_{j,1}\vartheta_0).$$

Multiplying the first equation by $\partial_{x_k}\vartheta_j$ and the second one by φ_j and taking the difference, we sum the result over all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, that is,

$$a_k(\chi_{k<N}(\varphi_k\vartheta_{k+1} + \varphi_{k+1}\vartheta_k) + \delta_{k,N}(\varphi_1\vartheta_0 + \varphi_{N+1}\vartheta_N))$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j-1}(\varphi_{j-1}\partial_{x_k}\vartheta_j - \varphi_j\partial_{x_k}\vartheta_{j-1}) + a_j(\varphi_{j+1}\partial_{x_k}\vartheta_j - \varphi_j\partial_{x_k}\vartheta_{j+1})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N}\{\partial_{x_k}\vartheta, \varphi\}_j - \{\partial_{x_k}\vartheta, \varphi\}_{j-1} = \{\partial_{x_k}\vartheta, \varphi\}_N - \{\partial_{x_k}\vartheta, \varphi\}_0 = \{\partial_{x_k}\vartheta, \varphi\}_N$$

since $\partial_{x_k}\vartheta_0 = \partial_{x_k}\vartheta_1 = 0$. Setting $\lambda = \nu_n$, we get

$$a_k(\hat\varphi_k\hat\vartheta_{k+1} + \hat\varphi_{k+1}\hat\vartheta_k) = \{\partial_{x_k}\hat\vartheta, \hat\varphi\}_N.$$

We observe that $\hat\varphi_N = 0$ implies

$$a_k(\hat\varphi_k\hat\vartheta_{k+1} + \hat\varphi_{k+1}\hat\vartheta_k) = a_N\hat\varphi_{N+1}\partial_{x_k}\hat\vartheta_N = -a_N\hat\vartheta_N\partial_{x_k}\hat\varphi_{N+1} + a_N\hat\vartheta_{N+1}\partial_{x_k}\hat\varphi_N$$

since $\partial_{x_k}\{\vartheta, \varphi\}_N = 0$ and hence

$$\partial_{x_k}\hat\varphi_{N+1} = -\frac{a_k}{a_N}(\hat\vartheta_N(\hat\vartheta_k\hat\varphi_{k+1} + \hat\varphi_k\hat\vartheta_{k+1}) + 2\hat\vartheta_{N+1}\hat\varphi_k\hat\varphi_{k+1}). \tag{2.8}$$

Secondly, we calculate $\partial_{b_k}\hat\varphi_{N+1}$ using the equation (1.2) for φ_j

$$a_{j-1}\varphi_{j-1} + (b_j - \lambda)\varphi_j + a_j\varphi_{j+1} = 0,$$

and the derivation of the equation (1.2) for ϑ_j with respect to b_k

$$a_{j-1}\partial_{b_k}\vartheta_{j-1} + (b_j - \lambda)\partial_{b_k}\vartheta_j + a_j\partial_{b_k}\vartheta_{j+1} = -\delta_{j,k}\vartheta_k.$$

Multiplying the first equation by $\partial_{b_k}\vartheta_j$ and the second one by φ_j and taking the difference, we sum the result over all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, that is,

$$\varphi_k\vartheta_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j-1}(\varphi_{j-1}\partial_{b_k}\vartheta_j - \varphi_j\partial_{b_k}\vartheta_{j-1}) + a_j(\varphi_{j+1}\partial_{b_k}\vartheta_j - \varphi_j\partial_{b_k}\vartheta_{j+1})$$

$$=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\{\partial_{b_k}\vartheta,\varphi\}_j-\{\partial_{b_k}\vartheta,\varphi\}_{j-1}=\{\partial_{b_k}\vartheta,\varphi\}_N-\{\partial_{b_k}\vartheta,\varphi\}_0=\{\partial_{b_k}\vartheta,\varphi\}_N$$

since $\partial_{b_k}\vartheta_0=\partial_{b_k}\vartheta_1=0$. We set $\lambda=\nu_n$, then $\hat\varphi_N=0$ implies

$$\hat\varphi_k\hat\vartheta_k=a_N\hat\varphi_{N+1}\partial_{b_k}\hat\vartheta_N=-a_N\hat\vartheta_N\partial_{b_k}\hat\varphi_{N+1}+a_N\hat\vartheta_{N+1}\partial_{b_k}\hat\varphi_N,$$

by $\partial_{b_k}\{\vartheta,\varphi\}_N=0$. Therefore, $\partial_{b_k}\hat\varphi_{N+1}=a_N^{-1}(\hat\varphi_{N+1}\hat\varphi_k\hat\vartheta_k-\hat\vartheta_{N+1}\hat\varphi_k^2)$ and (2.8) yield

$$\partial_{q_k}\hat\varphi_{N+1}=\frac{1}{a_N}\Big(\hat\varphi_{N+1}(a_k(\hat\varphi_{k+1}\hat\vartheta_k+\hat\varphi_k\hat\vartheta_{k+1}),\hat\varphi_k\hat\vartheta_k)-\hat\vartheta_{N+1}(2a_k\hat\varphi_k\hat\varphi_{k+1},\hat\varphi_k^2)\Big).$$

Substituting this expression into the identity (2.3), one obtains (2.5) and (2.6). ■

3 The proof of Theorem 1.3.

In this Section, we will show that $d_q\nu_n,\ d_q\psi_{1,n},\ n\in\mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$, is a basis of $\mathscr{H}^2$ using the symplectic form defined in (1.10). First of all, we display some identities for the Wronskian defined in (2.1).

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\hat\varphi=\varphi(\nu_n(q),q),\hat\vartheta=\vartheta(\nu_n(q),q)$ *and* $\tilde\varphi=\varphi(\nu_m(q),q),\tilde\vartheta=\vartheta(\nu_m(q),q),\ n,m\in\mathbb{Z}_{N-1},q\in\mathscr{H}^2$. *Then for all* $k\in\mathbb{Z}_N$, *the following identities hold true*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\hat\varphi_j\tilde\varphi_j=0,\quad \{\tilde\varphi,\hat\varphi\}_k=(\nu_n-\nu_m)\sum_{i=1}^{k}\hat\varphi_i\tilde\varphi_i,\tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\hat\vartheta_j\tilde\vartheta_j=\frac{\{\tilde\vartheta,\hat\vartheta\}_N}{(\nu_n-\nu_m)},\quad \{\tilde\vartheta,\hat\vartheta\}_k=(\nu_n-\nu_m)\sum_{i=1}^{k}\hat\vartheta_i\tilde\vartheta_i,\tag{3.2}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\hat\vartheta_j\tilde\varphi_j=\frac{a_0(1-\tilde\varphi_{N+1}\hat\vartheta_N)}{(\nu_n-\nu_m)},\quad \{\tilde\varphi,\hat\vartheta\}_k=-a_0+(\nu_n-\nu_m)\sum_{i=1}^{k}\hat\vartheta_i\tilde\varphi_i,\tag{3.3}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\hat\varphi_j\tilde\vartheta_j=\frac{a_0(\tilde\vartheta_N\hat\varphi_{N+1}-1)}{(\nu_n-\nu_m)},\quad \{\tilde\vartheta,\hat\varphi\}_k=a_0+(\nu_n-\nu_m)\sum_{i=1}^{k}\hat\varphi_i\tilde\vartheta_i,\tag{3.4}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\hat\varphi_j^2=a_0\hat\varphi_{N+1}\hat\varphi_N^{'}.\tag{3.5}$$

Proof. We assume $n,m\in\mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$ and $j,k\in\mathbb{Z}_N$.

i) To show (3.1), we consider the equation (1.2) for $\hat\varphi_j$ and $\tilde\varphi_j$ respectively

$$a_{j-1}\hat\varphi_{j-1}+b_j\hat\varphi_j+a_j\hat\varphi_{j+1}=\nu_n\hat\varphi_j,$$

$$a_{j-1}\tilde\varphi_{j-1}+b_j\tilde\varphi_j+a_j\tilde\varphi_{j+1}=\nu_m\tilde\varphi_j.$$

Multiplying the first equation by $\tilde{\varphi}_j$ and the second one by $\hat{\varphi}_j$ and taking the difference, we get

$$a_{j-1}(\tilde{\varphi}_j\hat{\varphi}_{j-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{j-1}\hat{\varphi}_j) + a_j(\tilde{\varphi}_j\hat{\varphi}_{j+1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{j+1}\hat{\varphi}_j) = (\nu_n - \nu_m)\hat{\varphi}_j\tilde{\varphi}_j$$

or equivalently, by the definition (2.1) of the Wronskian,

$$\{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\}_j - \{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\}_{j-1} = (\nu_n - \nu_m)\hat{\varphi}_j\tilde{\varphi}_j. \tag{3.6}$$

Summing (3.6) over all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and using $\tilde{\varphi}_0 = \hat{\varphi}_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_N = \hat{\varphi}_N = 0$, we get the first identity in (3.1)

$$(\nu_n - \nu_m)\sum_{j=1}^{N} \hat{\varphi}_j\tilde{\varphi}_j = \{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\}_N - \{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\}_0 = 0.$$

Next, we show the second identity in (3.1) by induction on k. For $k = 1$, the identity holds according to (3.6) since $\{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\}_0 = 0$. Assuming that the identity holds for $k - 1$, we will verify it for k : By (3.6) and the induction hypothesis, it follows

$$\frac{\{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\}_k}{(\nu_n - \nu_m)} = \frac{\{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\}_{k-1}}{(\nu_n - \nu_m)} + \hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i + \hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i.$$

This establishes the second identity in (3.1).

ii) The proof of (3.2) is similar to that of (3.1) since $\{\tilde{\vartheta}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_0 = 0$.

iii) To show (3.3), we consider again the equation (1.2) for $\hat{\vartheta}_j$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_j$ respectively

$$a_{j-1}\hat{\vartheta}_{j-1} + b_j\hat{\vartheta}_j + a_j\hat{\vartheta}_{j+1} = \nu_n\hat{\vartheta}_j,$$

$$a_{j-1}\tilde{\varphi}_{j-1} + b_j\tilde{\varphi}_j + a_j\tilde{\varphi}_{j+1} = \nu_m\tilde{\varphi}_j.$$

Multiplying the first equation by $\tilde{\varphi}_j$ and the second one by $\hat{\vartheta}_j$ and taking the difference, we get

$$a_{j-1}(\tilde{\varphi}_j\hat{\vartheta}_{j-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{j-1}\hat{\vartheta}_j) + a_j(\tilde{\varphi}_j\hat{\vartheta}_{j+1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{j+1}\hat{\vartheta}_j) = (\nu_n - \nu_m)\hat{\vartheta}_j\tilde{\varphi}_j$$

or equivalently

$$\{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_j - \{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_{j-1} = (\nu_n - \nu_m)\hat{\vartheta}_j\tilde{\varphi}_j. \tag{3.7}$$

Next, summing (3.7) over all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and using $\{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_0 = -a_0,\ a_0 = a_N,\ \hat{\varphi}_N = 0$, the first statement in (3.2) follows

$$(\nu_n - \nu_m)\sum_{j=1}^{N} \hat{\vartheta}_j\tilde{\varphi}_j = \{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_N - \{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_0 = a_0(1 - \tilde{\varphi}_{N+1}\hat{\vartheta}_N).$$

We prove the second identity (3.2) by induction on k. For $k = 1$, it holds according to (3.7), since $\{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_0 = -a_0$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_1\hat{\vartheta}_1 = 0$. Supposing the identity is truth for $k - 1$, prove it for k. (3.7) and the induction hypothesis together imply

$$\{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_k = \{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_{k-1} + (\nu_n - \nu_m)\hat{\vartheta}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k = (\nu_n - \nu_m)\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \hat{\vartheta}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i + (\nu_n - \nu_m)\hat{\vartheta}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k - a_0 =$$

$$= (\nu_n - \nu_m) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{\vartheta}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i - a_0.$$

So the second identity in (3.2) follows.

iv) The proof of (3.4) is similar to that of (3.3), since we have $\{\tilde{\vartheta}, \hat{\varphi}\}_0 = a_0$ and $\{\tilde{\vartheta}, \hat{\varphi}\}_N = a_N \tilde{\vartheta}_N \hat{\varphi}_{N+1} = a_0 \tilde{\vartheta}_N \hat{\varphi}_{N+1}$.

v) To verify (3.5), we use the equation (1.2) for $\varphi_j(\lambda)$ and its gradient with respect to λ

$$a_{j-1}\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda) + (b_j - \lambda)\varphi_j(\lambda) + a_j\varphi_{j+1}(\lambda) = 0,$$

$$a_{j-1}\varphi^{'}_{j-1}(\lambda) + (b_j - \lambda)\varphi^{'}_j(\lambda) + a_j\varphi^{'}_{j+1}(\lambda) = \varphi_j(\lambda).$$

Multiplying the first equation by $\varphi^{'}_j(\lambda)$ and the second one by $\varphi_j(\lambda)$ and taking the difference, we get

$$a_{j-1}(\varphi^{'}_j(\lambda)\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda) - \varphi^{'}_{j-1}(\lambda)\varphi_j(\lambda)) + a_j(\varphi^{'}_j(\lambda)\varphi_{j+1}(\lambda) - \varphi^{'}_{j+1}(\lambda)\varphi_j(\lambda)) = \varphi_j^2(\lambda)$$

or equivalently

$$\{\varphi^{'}(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda)\}_j - \{\varphi^{'}(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda)\}_{j-1} = \varphi_j^2(\lambda). \tag{3.8}$$

Next, summing (3.8) over all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and using $\varphi_1 \equiv \varphi^{'}_1 \equiv 0$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_j^2(\lambda) = \{\varphi^{'}(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda)\}_N - \{\varphi^{'}(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda)\}_0 = \{\varphi^{'}(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda)\}_N.$$

Setting $\lambda = \nu_n$ and recalling $\hat{\varphi}_N = 0$, it becomes $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \hat{\varphi}_j^2 = \{\hat{\varphi}^{'}, \hat{\varphi}\}_N = a_N \hat{\varphi}_N \hat{\varphi}^{'}_N$. ∎

Now we will apply the definition (1.10) of the symplectic form: For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$, we consider $d_q\nu_n = (d_{q_k}\nu_n)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_N}$ given in (2.4) and $B_n = (B_{n,k})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_N}$ defined in (2.6). We observe that $d_{q_0}\nu_n \equiv d_{q_N}\nu_n$ and $B_{n,0} \equiv B_{n,N}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$ since $q_0 = q_N$. So we can define a symplectic form for $d_q\nu_n$ and B_n.

Theorem 3.2. *For all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$, the following identities hold*

$$d_q\nu_n \wedge d_q\nu_m = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

$$B_n \wedge B_m = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

$$B_n \wedge d_q\nu_m = -2\delta_{n,m}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. We assume $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$. Furthermore, we use the following abbreviations $\hat{\varphi} = \varphi(\nu_n(q), q)$, $\hat{\vartheta} = \vartheta(\nu_n(q), q)$ and $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi(\nu_m(q), q)$, $\tilde{\vartheta} = \vartheta(\nu_m(q), q)$ for all $q \in \mathscr{H}^2$.

i) To verify the identity (3.9), let $n \neq m$ since the case $n = m$ is obvious. Applying the definition (1.10) of the symplectic form and introducing $C = 2(a_N^2 \hat{\varphi}_{N+1}\hat{\varphi}^{'}_N \tilde{\varphi}_{N+1}\tilde{\varphi}^{'}_N)^{-1}$, we have

$$d_q\nu_n \wedge d_q\nu_m = C \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Big(a_k(\hat{\varphi}_k\hat{\varphi}_{k+1}\tilde{\varphi}_k^2 - \hat{\varphi}_k^2\tilde{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}) - a_{k-1}(\hat{\varphi}_{k-1}\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k^2 - \hat{\varphi}_k^2\tilde{\varphi}_{k-1}\tilde{\varphi}_k) \Big).$$

Using the definition (2.1) of the Wronskian, this equals

$$d_q\nu_n \wedge d_q\nu_m = C\sum_{k=1}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k(\{\tilde{\varphi},\hat{\varphi}\}_k + \{\tilde{\varphi},\hat{\varphi}\}_{k-1}).$$

By (3.1), it follows

$$\frac{d_q\nu_n \wedge d_q\nu_m}{C(\nu_n-\nu_m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i + \sum_{k=1}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k\sum_{i=1}^{k}\hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i.$$

Below, we need the following simple identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} z_k \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i = \sum_{k=1}^{N} w_k \sum_{i=k}^{N} z_i, \qquad \sum_{k=2}^{N} z_k \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w_i = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} w_k \sum_{i=k+1}^{N} z_i \tag{3.12}$$

for all $z = (z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_N}, w = (w_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_N} \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Then we can use (3.12) and (3.5) to obtain

$$\frac{d_q\nu_n \wedge d_q\nu_m}{C(\nu_n-\nu_m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i + \sum_{k=1}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k\sum_{i=k}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i = 0,$$

which vanishes by the sum identity in (3.1).

ii) We verify the identity (3.10) in the same manner like (3.9). Again, we consider $n \neq m$, since the case $n = m$ is obvious, and get

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n \wedge B_m = \frac{1}{a_N^2}\sum_{k=1}^{N} & a_k\Big((\hat{\varphi}_{k+1}\hat{\vartheta}_k + \hat{\varphi}_k\hat{\vartheta}_{k+1})\tilde{\varphi}_k\tilde{\vartheta}_k - \hat{\varphi}_k\hat{\vartheta}_k(\tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}\tilde{\vartheta}_k + \tilde{\varphi}_k\tilde{\vartheta}_{k+1})\Big) \\
& -a_{k-1}\Big((\hat{\varphi}_k\hat{\vartheta}_{k-1} + \hat{\varphi}_{k-1}\hat{\vartheta}_k)\tilde{\varphi}_k\tilde{\vartheta}_k - \hat{\varphi}_k\hat{\vartheta}_k(\tilde{\varphi}_k\tilde{\vartheta}_{k-1} + \tilde{\varphi}_{k-1}\tilde{\vartheta}_k)\Big) \\
= \frac{1}{a_N^2}\sum_{k=1}^{N} & a_k\Big(\tilde{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k(\hat{\vartheta}_{k+1}\tilde{\vartheta}_k - \hat{\vartheta}_k\tilde{\vartheta}_{k+1}) + \hat{\vartheta}_k\tilde{\vartheta}_k(\hat{\varphi}_{k+1}\tilde{\varphi}_k - \hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_{k+1})\Big) \\
& +a_{k-1}\Big(\hat{\vartheta}_k\tilde{\vartheta}_k(\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_{k-1} - \hat{\varphi}_{k-1}\tilde{\varphi}_k) + \hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k(\hat{\vartheta}_k\tilde{\vartheta}_{k-1} - \hat{\vartheta}_{k-1}\tilde{\vartheta}_k)\Big) \\
= \frac{1}{a_N^2}(\sum_{k=1}^{N} & \hat{\vartheta}_k\tilde{\vartheta}_k(\{\tilde{\varphi},\hat{\varphi}\}_k + \{\tilde{\varphi},\hat{\varphi}\}_{k-1}) + \sum_{n=1}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k(\{\tilde{\vartheta},\hat{\vartheta}\}_k + \{\tilde{\vartheta},\hat{\vartheta}\}_{k-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

Using firstly representations of the Wronskian in (3.1) and (3.2) and applying 3.12, we obtain

$$\frac{a_N^2(B_n \wedge B_m)}{(\nu_n-\nu_m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\hat{\vartheta}_k\tilde{\vartheta}_k(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\hat{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i) + \sum_{k=1}^{N}\hat{\varphi}_k\tilde{\varphi}_k(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\hat{\vartheta}_i\tilde{\vartheta}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\hat{\vartheta}_i\tilde{\vartheta}_i)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{\vartheta}_k \tilde{\vartheta}_k \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\varphi}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{\varphi}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \hat{\vartheta}_i \tilde{\vartheta}_i = 0,$$

which vanishes by the sum identity in (3.1).

iii) The proof of the identity (3.11) is similar. We have

$$B_n \wedge d_q \nu_m = (a_N^2 \tilde{\varphi}_{N+1} \tilde{\varphi}_N^{'})^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k ((\hat{\vartheta}_k \hat{\varphi}_{k+1} + \hat{\vartheta}_{k+1} \hat{\varphi}_k) \tilde{\varphi}_k^2 - 2\hat{\varphi}_k \hat{\vartheta}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k \tilde{\varphi}_{k+1})$$

$$-a_{k-1}((\hat{\vartheta}_{k-1} \hat{\varphi}_k + \hat{\vartheta}_k \hat{\varphi}_{k-1}) \tilde{\varphi}_k^2 - 2\hat{\varphi}_k \hat{\vartheta}_k \tilde{\varphi}_{k-1} \tilde{\varphi}_k).$$

If $n = m$, then $\{\hat{\vartheta}, \hat{\varphi}\}_k = a_N, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and (3.5) imply

$$B_n \wedge d_q \nu_n = (a_N^2 \hat{\varphi}_{N+1} \hat{\varphi}_N^{'})^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k-1} (\hat{\vartheta}_{k-1} \hat{\varphi}_k - \hat{\vartheta}_k \hat{\varphi}_{k-1}) \varphi_k^2 + a_k (\hat{\vartheta}_k \hat{\varphi}_{k+1} - \hat{\vartheta}_{k+1} \hat{\varphi}_k) \hat{\varphi}_k^2$$

$$= 2(a_N^2 \hat{\varphi}_{N+1} \hat{\varphi}_N^{'})^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_N \hat{\varphi}_k^2 = 2.$$

If $n \neq m$, then for $C = (a_N^2 \tilde{\varphi}_{N+1} \tilde{\varphi}_N^{'})^{-1}$, we get

$$B_n \wedge d_q \nu_m = C \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k (\hat{\varphi}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k (\tilde{\varphi}_k \hat{\vartheta}_{k+1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{k+1} \hat{\vartheta}_k) + \hat{\vartheta}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k (\tilde{\varphi}_k \hat{\varphi}_{k+1} - \tilde{\varphi}_{k+1} \hat{\varphi}_k))$$

$$+a_{k-1} (\hat{\varphi}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k (\tilde{\varphi}_{k-1} \hat{\vartheta}_k - \tilde{\varphi}_k \hat{\vartheta}_{k-1}) + \hat{\vartheta}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k (\tilde{\varphi}_{k-1} \hat{\varphi}_k - \tilde{\varphi}_k \hat{\varphi}_{k-1}))$$

$$= C \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{\varphi}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k (\{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_{k-1} + \{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\vartheta}\}_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{\vartheta}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k (\{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\}_{k-1} + \{\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\}_k) \Big).$$

Using firstly the representations of the Wronskians in (3.1) and (3.4) and $-2a_0 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{\varphi}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k = 0$ due to (3.1), this gives by (3.12)

$$B_n \wedge d_q \nu_m = (\nu_n - \nu_m) C \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{\varphi}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \hat{\vartheta}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{\vartheta}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{\vartheta}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \hat{\varphi}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{\varphi}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i) \Big)$$

$$= (\nu_n - \nu_m) C \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{\varphi}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\vartheta}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{\varphi}_k \tilde{\varphi}_k \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\vartheta}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i \Big) = 0,$$

which vanishes by the sum identity in (3.1). ■

The last Theorem allows us to prove the main result of this Section:

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Firstly, Theorem 3.2 implies the required equations: (1.11) is the statement (3.9). Next, (1.12) follows by

$$d_q \psi_{1,n} \wedge d_q \psi_{1,m} = (B_n + (\hat{\varphi}_{N+1}^{'} \hat{\vartheta}_N - \hat{\varphi}_N^{'} \hat{\vartheta}_{N+1}) d_q \nu_n) \wedge (B_m + (\tilde{\varphi}_{N+1}^{'} \tilde{\vartheta}_N - \tilde{\varphi}_N^{'} \tilde{\vartheta}_{N+1}) d_q \nu_m)$$

$$= (\hat{\varphi}_{N+1}'\hat{\vartheta}_N - \hat{\varphi}_N'\hat{\vartheta}_{N+1})(2\delta_{n,m} - 2\delta_{n,m}) = 0.$$

Applying (3.9) and (3.11), we obtain

$$d_q\psi_{1,n} \wedge d_q\nu_m = (B_n + (\hat{\varphi}_{N+1}'\hat{\vartheta}_N - \hat{\varphi}_N'\hat{\vartheta}_{N+1})d_q\nu_n) \wedge d_q\nu_m = B_n \wedge d_q\nu_m = 2\delta_{n,m}.$$

Secondly, the identities (1.11)–(1.13) yield that $d_q\nu_n, d_q\psi_{1,n},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$, is a basis of $\mathscr{H}^2$. ∎

4 The Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *Each from the functions* $\lambda_n,\ \xi_n \equiv |\psi_n|^2,\ \psi_n,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$, *is real analytic on* $\mathscr{H}^2$ *and satisfies*

$$d_q\lambda_n = -\frac{\partial\Delta'(\lambda_n(q), q)}{\Delta''(\lambda_n(q), q)}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$d_q\xi_n = (-1)^{N-n}\frac{\partial\Delta(\lambda_n(q), q)}{2(d\cosh\sqrt{\xi_n}/d\xi_n)}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$(-1)^{N-n}(\sinh\psi_{1,n})d_q\psi_{1,n} = \partial\Delta(\nu_n(q), q) + \Delta'(\nu_n(q), q)d_q\nu_n. \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover, there exists a real analytic positive function β_n *on* $\mathscr{H}^2$ *such that for all* $q \in \mathscr{H}^2$,

$$\psi_{2,n}(q) = \beta_n(q)(\lambda_n(q) - \nu_n(q)). \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. This proof is similar to the continues case [Ko] (see also [KoKu]). ∎

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Although we use the approach from the papers [KaKo] and [Ko], we give the accurate proof for the sake of the reader. We need the following theorem from the nonlinear functional analysis; it is a modification [KoKu] of a "basic theorem" of the direct method in [KaKo].

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $\mathscr{H}$, *and* $\mathscr{H}_0$ *be Hilbert spaces equipped with norms* $\|\cdot\|$ *and* $\|\cdot\|_0$ *respectively. Let* $f_0 : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}_0$ *be a real analytic isomorphism between* $\mathscr{H}$ *and* $\mathscr{H}_0$. *If a map* $f : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}_0$ *satisfies following conditions:*

i) f *is a local real analytic isomorphism,*

ii) $f - f_0$ *is a compact map, i.e., it maps a weakly convergent sequence in* $\mathscr{H}$ *into a convergent sequence in* $\mathscr{H}_0$,

iii) We have $\|f(x)\|_0 \to \infty$ *as* $\|x\| \to \infty$ *and it holds* $f^{-1}(0) = 0$.

Then f *is a real analytic isomorphism between* $\mathscr{H}$ *and* $\mathscr{H}_0$.

We will check the conditions of Theorem 4.2 for the Marchenko-Ostrovsky mapping ψ using lemma 4.1:

i) Let us verify the first condition applying the Inverse Function Theorem. By Lemma 4.1, $\psi(\cdot)$ is real analytic on $\mathscr{H}^2$. It remains to show that $d_q\psi$ is invertible. We will prove it by contradiction. Let a vector $v \in \mathscr{H}^2$ be the solution of the equation

$$(d_q\psi)v = 0 \ \Leftrightarrow\ \{\langle d_q\psi_{1,n}, v\rangle = 0,\ \langle d_q\psi_{2,n}, v\rangle = 0,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}\}$$

for some $q \in \mathscr{H}^2$. Here, $\langle q, \widetilde{q} \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N}(a_n\widetilde{a_n} + b_n\widetilde{b_n})$ denotes the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$. The function $\xi_n = \psi_{1,n}^2 + \psi_{2,n}^2$ is analytic and the definition of v implies $\langle d_q\xi_n, v\rangle = 0,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$. Define the polynomial $f(\lambda) \equiv \langle (\partial\Delta)(\lambda, q), v\rangle,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, of degree $N-1$ with respect to λ. Then (4.2) implies

$$f(\lambda_n) = (-1)^{N-n}\frac{d\cosh\sqrt{\xi_n}}{d\xi_n}\langle d_q\xi_n, v\rangle = 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$. Therefore, $f \equiv 0$. For fixed $q \in \mathscr{H}^2$ there are three cases:
1) Let $\psi_{2,n} = 0$. The differentiation of the equation (4.4) implies $d_s\psi_{2,n} = \beta_n(s)(d_s\lambda_n(s) - d_s\nu_n(s))$, if $\psi_{2,n}(s) = 0$ for some $s \in \mathscr{H}^2$. Using the definition of v and (4.1) and $f = 0$, we obtain $\langle d_q\lambda_n, v\rangle = 0$ and hereby $\langle d_q\nu_n, v\rangle = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$.
2) Let $\psi_{1,n} \neq 0,\ \psi_{2,n} \neq 0$. Then (1.4) and (1.5) yield $\lambda_n \neq \nu_n$. Moreover, we may apply (4.3) and $f = 0$ to get

$$0 = (-1)^{N-n}\sinh\psi_{1,n}\langle d_q\psi_{1,n}, v\rangle = \Delta'(\nu_n, q)\langle d_q\nu_n, v\rangle,$$

that is, $\langle d_q\nu_n, v\rangle = 0$ since $\Delta'(\nu_n, q) \neq 0$.
3) Let $\psi_{1,n} = 0 \neq \psi_{2,n}$. By (4.3), we have $\partial\Delta(\nu_n, q) = -\Delta'(\nu_n, q)d_q\nu_n$. The equation (1.6) implies $\vartheta_N(\nu_n, q) = \varphi_{N+1}(\nu_n, q) = (-1)^{N-n}$, which gives $\lambda_n \neq \nu_n$, that is, $\Delta'(\nu_n(q), q) \neq 0$. I.e. $\langle d_q\nu_n, v\rangle = 0$ since $f \equiv 0$. The vectors $\{d_q\psi_{1,n}, d_q\nu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{N-1}}$ are a basis of $\mathscr{H}^2$ according to Theorem 1.3, then $v = 0$ holds and the operator $d_q\psi$ is invertible.

ii) The second condition, namely, the compactness follows since $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{H}_0$ are finitely dimensional.

iii) The third condition follows from [KoKu], p. 6-7, where the analog statement in terms of μ_n is proved, since the norm of ψ for μ_n and ν_n is the same. In particular, the stability (1.9) is essential.

Now we see that all the conditions of Theorem 4.2 are fulfilled, then ψ is a real analytic isomorphism between $\mathscr{H}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2N-2}$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. i) By Theorem 1.1, for each $\psi \in \mathbb{R}^{2N-2}$ there exists exactly one point $q \in \mathscr{H}^2$ such that (1.4)-(1.6) hold. Next, for any point $q \in \mathscr{H}^2$ there is exactly one conformal mapping $\kappa : \Lambda \to K$ with the properties (1.7) (see [KoKr]), which together with (1.4)-(1.6) gives (1.8).

ii) The function $\kappa : \Lambda \to K$ satisfies the equations $\kappa(\sigma_n) = [\pi(n-1), \pi n], n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and $\kappa(\gamma_n) = \Gamma_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$. That is, if we know $(|\psi_n|)_1^{N-1}$, then we get Λ. Moreover, (1.8) gives all $\nu_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{N-1}$.

iii) The claim (1.9) is proved in terms of μ_n in [KoKu], p. 2, based on [KoKr]. This proof holds for the case of ν_n since $|\psi_n|$ is independent of ν_n or μ_n according to its definition in (1.5). ■

References

[Ah] Ahiezer, N. I.: *The classical moment problem.* Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd 1961

[BGGK] Bättig, D., Grebert, B., Guillot, J.-C., Kappeler, T.: *Fibration of the phase space of the periodic Toda lattice.* J. Math Pures Appl. (9) **72**(1993), no. 6, 553–565

[DT] Date, E., Tanaka, S.: *Analogue of inverse scattering theory for the discrete Hill's equation and exact solutions for the periodic Toda lattice.* Prog. Theor. Phys. **55**(1976), 457–465

[GK] Gantmacher, F.R., Krein, M.G.: *On isospectral sets of Jacobi operators.* Commun. Math. Phys. **181**(1996), 631–645.

[GKT] Gesztesy, F., Krishna, M. and Teschl, G.: *On isospectral sets of Jacobi operators.* Commun. Math. Phys. **181**(1996), 631–645.

[GT] Garnett, J., Trubowitz, E.: *Gaps and bands of the one dimensional periodic Schrödinger operators.* Math. Helv. **58**(1984), 258–312

[GS] Gesztesy, F., Simon, B.: *M-Functions and inverse spectral analysis for finite and semi-finite Jacobi matrices.*

[Ko] Korotyaev, E.: *The inverse problem for the Hill operator.* I. Internat. Math. Res. Notices **(**1997), no. 3, 113–125.

[Ko1] Korotyaev, E.: *Gap-length for periodic Jacobi matrices.* Russ. J. Math. Phys. **13**(2006), no. 1, 64–69

[KaKo] Kargaev, P., Korotyaev, E.: *The inverse problem for the Hill operator, a direct approach.* Invent. Math. **129**(1997), 567–593

[KoKr] Korotyaev, E., Krasovsky, I.: *Specral Estimates for Periodic Jacobi Matrices.* Comm. Math. Physics. **234**(2003), 517–532

[KoKu] Korotyaev, E., Kutsenko, A.: *Marchenko-Ostrovski mappings for periodic Jacobi matrices.* will be published in Russ. J. Math. Phys.

[MO] Marchenko, V.A., Ostrovski, I.V.: *A characterization of the spectrum of the Hill operator.* Math. USSR Sb. **97**(1975), no. 4, 540–606, in Russian

[Pe] Perkolab, L.V.: *An inverse problem for a periodic Jacobi matrix.* Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **42**(1984), 107–121, in Russian

[PT] Pöschel, J., Trubowitz, E.: *Inverse spectral theory.* Boston: Pure and Applied Mathematics, Academic Press, 1987

[To] Toda, M.: *Theory of Nonlinear Lattices.* 2nd enl. ed., Berlin: Springer, 1989

[vM] van Moerbeke, P.: *The Spectrum of Jacobi Matrices.* Invent. Math. **37**(1976), 45–81